

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,349	04/11/2006	Paolo Brunengo	9526-86 8000		
30448 AKERMAN SE	7590 01/16/2007 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3188	BEACH, FL 33402-3188	O SULLIVAN, PETER G			
WEST FALM	BEACH, FL 33402-3100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1621			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/595,3	349	BRUNENGO ET AL.				
		Examine)r	Art Unit				
		Peter G.	O'Sullivan	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)□								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	· Nel							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/595,349

Art ปnit: 1621

Claims 1-9 are pending in this application which should be reviewed for errors.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nissan, GB 1,148,767, and Schuler, DE 2053358, (not 2). Nissan discloses the combining of ammonia and carbon dioxide off-gases from a melamine synthesis with an ammonium carbamate solution from a urea recovery section and the feeding of the condensed product to the urea synthesis section. The ammonia and carbon dioxide off-gases and the ammonium carbamate solution are at similar pressure (s. example 1). Shuler also disclose the condensation of off-gases form melamine synthesis and an aqueous ammonium carbamate solution from urea synthesis and it's feed to urea synthesis (s. the examples).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/595,349

Art Unit: 1621

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teaching of Nissan, GB 1,148,767, Schuler, DE 2053358, and Tjioe et al., U.S. 7,094,927. The teaching of Nissan and Schuler is as given above. Tijoe et al. adds to the above teaching by also disclosing a process wherein melamine off-gases are fed to a carbamate stream from the from the urea recovery section and the stream returned to the urea synthesis section (s. Col. 4, middle and bottom and Col. 5, middle and bottom). The instant invention differs from the combined teaching of the cited references in that additional compressing of the off-gases or the carbamate stream prior to condensation is not specifically disclosed. It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of the cited references, to make applicants' process including pressure changes to the off-gases or the carbamate stream prior to condensation and to expect to efficiently produce melamine and urea. The pressure, temperature and equilibria of all of the steps in melamine and urea synthesis are related and it would be within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the process by adjusting pressure and/or temperature. It is obvious to optimize the reaction and reaction conditions of an old process through routine experimentation to produce a new process. In re Aller et al. 105 U.S.P.Q. 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,349

Art Unit: 1621

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter G. O'Sullivan at telephone number (571)272-0642.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200